Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	nt(s)	
10/511,115	KARLSSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
IVES WU	1797		

	IVES WU	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthal ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ().	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a considered and the consid	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	TE below);			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	 owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the		
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		r be entered and an ex	granauon or		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			ce because:		
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>1103326</u>	S-0781			
/Duane S. Smith/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The instant claim 7 and 8 are amended to become dependent claims of claims 3 and 4. It raises, therefore a new issue. The current Amendments will not be enetered. As to the arguments concerning the teaching of prior art Greenwald et al (US 3944513), the teaching cited at Col.1, line 9-21 is a general teaching for definition of impurities in aqueous dispersions of vinyl polymers. The teaching cited at Col. 3, line 33-39 describes an optional for the removal of impurities because it depends on the requirements of product. Such as the teaching cited at Col. 6, line 49-51: The dispersions may contain nonionic or ionic surface active agents, or both, although in some cases no dispersant need to be present. The combined teaching with Greenwald et al (US 3944513) is proper. The rejection in prior Office Action dated 09/03/2008 is sustained.